

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8 WILLIAM JOHN CONNORS, III,)
9 Plaintiff,) 3:11v-00530-ECR-WGC
10 vs.)
11 DAVID ROGERS,) ORDER
12 Defendant,)
/

14 Plaintiff, whose civil rights complaint apparently seeking release of the “vessel William-
15 John Connors, III ©” from the possession or custody of Clark County and its district attorney, was
16 dismissed by the Court with prejudice on September 16, 2011. Plaintiff has now filed a document
17 entitled “Motion for District Judge to Reconsider Order (ECF No. 15).

18 A court may relieve a party from judgment for the following reasons: (1) mistake,
19 inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence; (3) fraud or other
20 misconduct; (4) a void judgment; (5) a satisfied or discharged judgment; or (6) any other reason
21 justifying relief from operation of the judgment. *See Fed.R.Civ.P. 60(b); Backlund v. Barnhardt*, 778
22 F.2d 1386, 1388 (9th Cir. 1985).

23 The complaint fails to state any type of cognizable claim subject to this Court's review
24 in that plaintiff attempted to identify himself as a maritime vessel subject to an action on a security
25 agreement and UCC filing. The motion for reconsideration contains statements related to nothing
26 discernible as an argument satisfying any of the above criteria. Rather, plaintiff seems to suggest that

1 the complaint was filed in error and should be stayed to allow the Nevada Supreme Court an opportunity
2 to rule on the issues. Whether the claims are exhausted in state court or not is of no moment to a
3 determination that the pleading is nonsensical. Based on the contents of the motion and the complaint,
4 the Court confirms its previous dismissal and (ECF No. 15) is **DENIED**.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 DATED this 28th day of October 2011.

7
8 
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE